

# EXHIBIT G

**Exhibit G to the Declaration of Jeffrey Greenbaum  
SaveOnSP's Proposed Redactions to ECF No. 166**

<b>Document Title/ECF No.</b>	<b>Basis for Sealing</b>	<b>Clearly Defined and Serious Injury that Would Result if the Relief is Not Granted</b>	<b>Why a Less Restrictive Alternative to the Relief Sought is Not Available</b>	<b>Any Prior Order Sealing the Same Materials in the Pending Action</b>	<b>Party in Opposition to Sealing, if any, and Basis</b>
Letter dated October 25, 2023 re CAP Search Terms [ECF No. 166]	Letter reflects SaveOnSP's internal policies and payment structure for SaveOnSP coinsurance, comprising propriety business and confidential health information. <i>See</i> ECF 166.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	A redacted, public version of the exhibit is being filed. It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of SaveOnSP's proprietary business information.	No	No objection
Exhibit 20 to Letter dated October 25, 2023 re CAP Search Terms [ECF No. 166]	Exhibit reflects SaveOnSP's organizational chart, comprising proprietary business information. <i>See</i> ECF 166 at Ex. 20.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	No objection
Exhibit 22 to Letter dated October 25, 2023 re CAP Search Terms [ECF No. 166]	Exhibit reflects SaveOnSP's internal processes for maintaining data, including payment data and payment values, as well as confidential	If relief is not granted, confidential health information would be disclosed and SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary	A redacted, public version of the exhibit is being filed. It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of	No	No objection

Document Title/ECF No.	Basis for Sealing	Clearly Defined and Serious Injury that Would Result if the Relief is Not Granted	Why a Less Restrictive Alternative to the Relief Sought is Not Available	Any Prior Order Sealing the Same Materials in the Pending Action	Party in Opposition to Sealing, if any, and Basis
	information regarding specific patient transactions, comprising propriety business and confidential health information. <i>See</i> ECF 166 at Ex. 22.	non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	SaveOnSP's proprietary business information.		
JJHCS Exhibit 1 to Letter dated October 25, 2023 re CAP Search Terms [ECF No. 166]	Exhibit reflects SaveOnSP's internal policies and payment structure for SaveOnSP coinsurance, comprising confidential proprietary business information. <i>See</i> ECF 166 at JJHCS Ex. 1.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	No objection
JJHCS Exhibit 2 to Letter dated October 25, 2023 re CAP Search Terms [ECF No. 166]	Exhibit reflects SaveOnSP's internal presentation regarding operational enhancements pertaining to Express Scripts, comprising confidential propriety business information. <i>See</i> ECF 166 at JJHCS Ex. 2.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	No objection

<b>Document Title/ECF No.</b>	<b>Basis for Sealing</b>	<b>Clearly Defined and Serious Injury that Would Result if the Relief is Not Granted</b>	<b>Why a Less Restrictive Alternative to the Relief Sought is Not Available</b>	<b>Any Prior Order Sealing the Same Materials in the Pending Action</b>	<b>Party in Opposition to Sealing, if any, and Basis</b>
JJHCS Exhibit 3 to Letter dated October 25, 2023 re CAP Search Terms [ECF No. 166]	Exhibit reflects SaveOnSP's internal call log and internal policies and strategies for engaging with members, comprising confidential proprietary business information. <i>See</i> ECF 166 at JJHCS Ex. 3.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	No objection